



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,951	02/2	21/2002	An Mei Chen	020178	7807
23696	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
QUALCOM	•		YUN, EUGENE		
5775 MOREH SAN DIEGO,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•	·			2682	
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/080,951	CHEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eugene Yun	2682				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-144 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-144 is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2002 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	\boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,951

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim (US 2002/0057663) in view of Sinnarajah et al. (US 6,980,820).

Referring to Claim 1, Lim teaches a method for delivering information to a dormant target communication device in a wireless communication system including a base station controller (BSC) and a packet control function (PCF) (fig. 1), the method comprising:

receiving information at the BSC for transmission to a target communication device (paragraph [0020]); and

broadcasting the information to a plurality of communication devices within a service area of the BSC (paragraph [0021]).

Lim does not teach at least a portion of the information transmitted on at least one available common channel and this transmitted information does not require establishment of a dedicated traffic channel. Sinnarajah teaches at least a portion of the information transmitted on at least one available common channel and this transmitted information does not require establishment of a dedicated traffic channel (see col. 9, lines 41-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 10/080,951

Art Unit: 2682

the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Sinnarajah to said device of Lim in order to better allow the communications devices to function in a broadcast mode as well as a communication mode.

Referring to Claim 2, Lim also teaches broadcasting the information to the communication devices belonging to a group call (paragraph [0035]).

Referring to Claim 3, Lim also teaches the BSC and the PCF co-located (fig. 1).

Referring to Claim 4, Lim also teaches transmitting the information on a forward common channel of a wireless network (middle of paragraph [0039]).

Referring to Claim 5, Lim also teaches transmitting the information on a forward paging channel (F-PCH) of the wireless network (paragraph [0010]).

Referring to Claim 6, Lim also teaches transmitting the information on a forward common control channel (F-CCCH) of the wireless network (paragraph [0010]).

Referring to Claim 7, Lim also teaches transmitting the information as short data burst (SDB) (paragraph [0012]).

Claims 8-21 have similar limitations as claims 1-7.

Referring to Claim 22, Lim teaches an apparatus for delivering information to a dormant target communication device in a wireless communication system including a base station controller (BSC) and a packet control function (PCF) (fig. 1), comprising:

A memory unit (paragraph [0036]);

A receiver (see BTS in fig. 1);

A transmitter (see BTS in fig. 1); and

A processor coupled to the memory unit (fig. 1), the receiver, and the transmitter, the processor being capable of:

Receiving information at a BSC for transmission to a target communication device (paragraph [0020]); and

Broadcasting the information to a plurality of communication devices within a service area of the BSC (paragraph [0021]).

Lim does not teach at least a portion of the information transmitted on at least one available common channel and this transmitted information does not require establishment of a dedicated traffic channel. Sinnarajah teaches at least a portion of the information transmitted on at least one available common channel and this transmitted information does not require establishment of a dedicated traffic channel (see col. 9, lines 41-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Sinnarajah to said device of Lim in order to better allow the communications devices to function in a broadcast mode as well as a communication mode.

Claims 23-28 have similar limitations as Claims 2-7.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2682

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (571) 272-7860. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571)272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600